
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/454,221	APPIAH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	OLEG SURVILLO	2142
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>OLEG SURVILLO, Examiner, AU 2142</u> . (3)		
(2) <u>KASEY CHRISTIE, Attorney, Reg. No. 40,559</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 18 April 2008 Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142		
(A _j	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/454,221

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicant's Attorney to discuss formal problems with several claims and a portion of the specification. It was agreed on that the proposed claim and specification amendments would be faxed to the Attorney for review and approval. Subsequently to the interview an authorization from the Applicant's Attorney was granted for the Examiner's amendment.